UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,019	12/28/2005	Guido Langenbach	HOFS-4450	3985
	7590 07/29/200 OLSEN & WATTS	8	EXAMINER	
22 CENTURY			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY 12110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/563,019	LANGENBACH, GUIDO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Blair M. Johnson	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, —				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following:

In paragraph 0040, roller 185 is incorrect; in paragraph 0042, elements 99 and 49 are not found; in paragraph 0045, sections 287 are not found; in paragraph 0046, 291 and

293 are not found

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-11,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, as well as other claims, "essentially" renders the scope of the claim indefinite.

In claim 13, there is no antecedent basis for "the inner side legs".

In claim 14, it is unclear as to what is being referred to by "same".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1,2,6 and 8-12,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Volz.

The disclosure indicates that the panels are not connected by "means being arranged therebetween". There are interengaging protrusions and recesses that meet

the broad definition of "tongue and groove". Any of rails 2 and rollers may be considered "offset rails" and "offset rollers". The term "essentially" renders the associated limitations extremely broad and easily met. The rails meet the broad limitation "counter bearing device". Claims 12 and 14 are extremely broad and read on any rails that are not colinear, such as in Volz. The method of making the rails, i.e. extrusion or casting, are not considered in these article claims.

Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Watanabe et al.

See Fig. 5 which provides horizontal and vertical C-shaped rails, some of which may be called offset rails as well as a "counter bearing device". The panels are joined but not by "connecting means", which associated negative limitation is broad and easily met by structure joining the panels. Alternatively, the means for joining the panels are not "between" the panels but at the side edges thereof, the panels are joined at tongue and groove interfaces. See lift lever 4 which is a "track" for "lift roller" 15. See also counter bearing rails 1c. Claims 12-14 are extremely broad and read on any rails that are not colinear, such as in Watanabe. The method of making the rails, i.e. extrusion or casting, are not considered in these article claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Blair M. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634

BMJ 7/25/08